Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/768,616	CHECCO, JOHN C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2131
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel Bourque (Reg. No. 35,457)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 September 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 6,7, 20, and 21		
Prior art documents discussed: Cho et al. (U.S. Patent 6,151,593) and Gressel (U.S. Patent 6,311,272)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Kaveh Abrishamkar/ Examiner, Art Unit 2131	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/768,616

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner notified the Applicant that if the limitations of claims 6 and 7 were incorporated into the independent claims, the claims would be in condition for allowance. The limitations of claims 6 and 7 disclosed nonce profiling the templates and using the nonce profiling to create a new passphrase. The Applicant agreed to the proposed amendments.